

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,324	12/15/2004	Eric Deguyon Taylor	BA9308USPCT	6745
David E Heiser E I du Pont de Nemours & Company			EXAMINER	
			HABTE, I	HABTE, KAHSAY
Legal Patents Wilmington, DE 19898			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
	,	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ·	Δ	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/518,324	TAYLOR, ERIC DEGUYON			
		xaminer	Art Unit			
	K	ahsay Habte	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRO Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	DM THE MAILING DAT the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a te of this communication. e maximum statutory period will a period for reply will, by statute, can three months after the mailing da	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frouse the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
· · ·	2b)☐ This accondition for allowance	etion is non-final.	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4 and 7-21 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allo 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,7-9 and 12-1 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objection 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subjection	10,11,20 and 21 is/are wed. 9 is/are rejected. ected to.	withdrawn from consideratior	3.			
Application Papers						
, , , , ,	is/are: a) accept at any objection to the dra (s) including the correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. S is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) ( Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ng Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 3-4 and 7-21 are pending in this application.

## Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-9 filed 2/6/2007 is acknowledged. The restriction requirement was made FINAL in the previous Office Action.

Applicants argue, "there was no discussion of J as a special technical feature distinguishing Group I from the other claim groups. Moreover, although election of a single disclosed species was required in the event Applicants elected Group II, all Js were apparently included within Group II". The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument. As set forth in the restriction requirement, inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. The special technical feature of Group I is a benzo[1,3]oxazinone ring attached to a pyrrole derivative (5-membered ring with one nitrogen) is different from the special technical feature of Group II. The special technical feature of Group II is other fused oxazinones e.g. K = pyrido, pyrrole, etc. and J = alkyl, halogen, phenyl, or other heterocyclic rings and is different from the special technical feature of Group I.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 3-4 and 7-21 are pending in this application.

#### Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-9 filed 2/6/2007 is acknowledged. The restriction requirement was made FINAL in the previous Office Action.

Applicants argue, "there was no discussion of J as a special technical feature distinguishing Group I from the other claim groups. Moreover, although election of a single disclosed species was required in the event Applicants elected Group II, all Js were apparently included within Group II". The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument. As set forth in the restriction requirement, inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. The special technical feature of Group I is a benzo[1,3]oxazinone ring attached to a pyrrole derivative (5-membered ring with one nitrogen) is different from the special technical feature of Group II. The special technical feature of Group II is other fused oxazinones e.g. K = pyrido, pyrrole, etc. and J = alkyl, halogen, phenyl, or other heterocyclic rings and is different from the special technical feature of Group I.

In regard to the argument that all Js were included in Group II, the examiner requested a single disclosed species to initiate a search or to transfer the case. Because the Js are included in Group II does not mean the examiner has to search all the Js in Group I. Election of a single disclosed species is a standard procedure, when an invention is very broad or unsearchable. If applicants had been elected Group II, further restriction might have been required.

Since the restriction requirement were made FINAL in the previous Office Action, applicants have to delete the non-elected subject matter e.g. from claims 8 and 12 and delete claims 10-11 and 20-21 or file a petition for the restriction requirement.

Claims 10-11 and 20-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed.

Note that new claim 20 is not drawn to a method of preparing benzo[1,3]oxazinone ring attached to a pyrrole derivative (5-membered ring with one nitrogen). It is drawn to a method of using Formula 1a in making the intermediate compound of Formula III.

3. The claims are drawn to multiple inventions for reasons set forth in the restriction requirement. The claims are examined only to the extent that they read on the elected invention. Cancellation of the non-elected subject matter from is recommended in response to this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,324

Art Unit: 1624

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-4, 7-9 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

a. Regarding claim 12 (page 6, line 15), the phrase "optionally including" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 07/10/2007 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the term "including" as used in Original claim 5 is not indefinite and "optionally including" is illustrated in the application itself. The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument. There is no guidance in the specification for such phrase. It is recommended that applicants amend the claim as "optionally with" to overcome this rejection.

Art Unit: 1624

b. In claims 13 and 16, the phrase "wherein the pyridine compound is selected from the groups consisting of pyridine, quinoline, isoquinoline and pyridine substituted with alkyl" is not clear. How can one select a pyridine compound from a pyridine? How can a pyridine compound selected from a quinoline compound that is bicyclic? Note that in claim 12, the claim recites "in the presence of pyridine compound" not in the presence of quinoline or isoquinoline compounds.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/518,324

Art Unit: 1624

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte whose telephone number is (571)-272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00-5:30).

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte 'Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

KH

July 16, 2007